## Complaints about Waverley's services upheld by the LGSCO in 2017/18

| <b>Details of complaint</b>  | Outcome  | Lessons learned/action taken  |
|--|--|---|
| The Council did not give the complainant's client adequate advice and assistance after she left a previous home. | There was fault by the Council because it did not formally write to the client with its decision on a homelessness application. However the complainant's client did not suffer significant injustice to warrant a remedy or further pursuit of the matter.  | Decisions on homelessness applications should always be communicated formally in writing to the applicant, confirming the right of the applicant to ask for a review of the Council's decision.   |
| The Council did not deal properly with the complainant's application for discretionary tax relief.               | Concluded that actions taken by the Council amounted to a satisfactory remedy of the complaint. The Council had acknowledged failings in the process, had offered the complainant an apology and £50 for the time and trouble taken to pursue his complaint, and had invited the complainant to appeal its decision. | Council to ensure that in future any tax payer wishing to appeal a refusal of a discretionary council tax discount is advised to submit an appeal to the Executive, and then to Valuation Tribunal if the Council refuses their initial appeal. |